

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
DIXI SIERRA GONZALEZ,  
Defendant.

No. CR 11-0330 WHA

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~  
ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER 18  
U.S.C. § 3161**

The defendant appeared for his first status conference before this Court on June 14, 2011. Government counsel presented the defense with an informal offer shortly before the status conference. Defense counsel is in the process of reviewing discovery in this case and meeting with the defendant to review the offer. The parties have agreed to exclude the period of time between June 14, 2011 to July 5, 2011, from any time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The parties represented that granting the exclusion would allow the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation of counsel. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties also agree that good cause exists, and that the ends of justice served by granting such an exclusion of time



1 outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. §  
2 3161(h)(7)(A). At the hearing, the Court made findings consistent with this agreement.

3 SO STIPULATED:

4  
5 MELINDA HAAG  
United States Attorney

6  
7 DATED: June 14, 2011

8  
9 /s/  
10 SUSAN PHAN  
Special Assistant U.S. Attorney

11  
12 DATED: June 14, 2011

13  
14 /s/  
15 DANIEL BLANK  
Attorney for DIXI SIERRA GONZALEZ



~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

For the reasons stated above at the June 14, 2011 status conference, the Court finds that the exclusion from the time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161 of the period from June 14, 2011 to July 5, 2011 is warranted and that good cause exists, and the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(A).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: June 15, 2011.



---

WILLIAM H. ALSUP  
United States District Judge